

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/605,008		09/01/2003	Jen-Yu Hsu	LITP0003USA	2007	
27765	7590	09/06/2006		EXAMINER		
		ICA INTELLECTU	DANIELSEN, NATHAN ANDREW			
P.O. BOX 506 MERRIFIELD, VA 22116				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,					
			DATE MAILED: 09/06/2006			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		A	oplication No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary			0/605,008	HSU ET AL.					
			caminer	Art Unit					
		Na	athan Danielsen	2627					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communi or Reply	cation appear	s on the cover sheet	with the correspondence a	ddress				
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE M. asions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comm period for reply is specified above, the maximum state to reply within the set or extended period for reply eply received by the Office later than three months and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	AILING DATE of 37 CFR 1.136(a) unication. tutory period will apwill, by statute, caus	OF THIS COMMUN. In no event, however, may oply and will expire SIX (6) Mose the application to become	IICATION. a reply be timely filed ONTHS from the mailing date of this of ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status									
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) file	d on <i>03 July 2</i>	2006.						
,	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.								
,—	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is								
, —	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositi	on of Claims								
4)🖂	Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are pending in the application.								
-	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5)[Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)🖂	Claim(s) 1-8 is/are rejected.								
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
Applicati	on Papers								
9)	9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10)⊠	The drawing(s) filed on <u>01 Septembe</u>	r 2003 and 03	<u>3 <i>July 2006</i></u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ obje	cted to by the				
Examiner									
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to	by the Exam	iner. Note the attach	ed Office Action or form P	TO-152.				
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 									
Attachmen			_						
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P	TO-948\		w Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date					
3) 🔲 Infon	e of Dramsperson's Patent Drawing Review (Pmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	1 U-34 0)		f Informal Patent Application					

Application/Control Number: 10/605,008

Art Unit: 2627

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-8 are pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1 recites the limitation "the 'track on' process" in the third paragraph. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. Claims 2-4 are rejected as being dependent on an indefinite claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5. Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Takeuchi (US Patent 5,177,718).

Regarding claim 1, Takeuchi discloses a method for processing error control for a seeking servo of an optical disk drive comprising following steps:

calculating a "track on" time when the control of a pickup head is switched from a seeking servo system to a tracking servo system (col. 6, lines 39-46 and figures 5-7; where a lower count value γ indicates fewer large-amplitude oscillations and thus a greater probability of successful tracking on);

moving the pickup head to the center of a movable range when the "track on" process is not completed in a predetermined time (col. 7, lines 42-45 and 50-56 and figures 5-7); and

switching control of the pickup head to the tracking servo system (col. 9, line 62 through col. 10, line 8 and step ST19 in figure 6).

Regarding claim 5, Takeuchi discloses a method for processing error control for a seeking servo of an optical disk drive comprising following steps:

detecting a center error signal when control of a pickup head is switched from a seeking servo system to a tracking servo system (col. 8, lines 31-34 and figure 5A; where the tracking error in figure 5A is an indication that the objective lens is oscillating around the center position, which is assumed to be centered over the target track);

moving the pickup head to the center of a movable range when the center error signal exceeds a predetermined value (col. 8, lines 25-63 and col. 9, line 62 through col. 10, line 8; where the predetermined value is interpreted to be any value that causes SW2 to be kept on); and

switching control of the pickup head to the tracking servo system (col. 9, line 62 through col. 10, line 8).

Regarding claims 2 and 6, Takeuchi discloses where switching control of the pickup head from the seeking servo system to the tracking servo system is determined by an 'On Track' signal (inherent for deciding when the coarse tracking has been completed (col. 8, lines 25-28)).

Regarding claims 3 and 7, Takeuchi discloses where a center servo control system is provided to move the pickup head to the center of the movable range (col. 7, lines 14-28).

Regarding claims 4 and 8, Takeuchi discloses where the pickup head oscillates at a natural frequency and moves to the center of the movable range by natural damping (suggested in col. 8, lines 25-52).

Application/Control Number: 10/605,008

Art Unit: 2627

Response to Arguments

Page 4

6. Applicant's arguments, see pages 27-29, filed 03 July 2006, with respect to the rejection(s) of

claim(s) 1-4 under 35 USC § 102(b) have been fully considered and are persuasive. Applicant's

arguments, see pages 29-30, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 5-8 under 35 USC §§ 102(b) and

103(a) have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, these rejections have been withdrawn.

However, upon further consideration, new grounds of rejection are made in view of Takeuchi, as shown

above.

Closing Remarks/Comments

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should

be directed to Nathan Danielsen whose telephone number is (571) 272-4248. The examiner can

normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 8:30 AM - 4:30 PM Eastern Time.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, A.L.

Wellington can be reached on (571) 272-4483. The fax phone number for the organization where this

application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application

Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from

either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through

Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC)

at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative

or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-

1000.

Nathan Danielsen

ANDREA WELLINGTON

SERVISORY PATENT FXAMINER